ZAUQ-E-TAHQEEQ
www.Zauq-e-Tahqeeq.com
editor@zauq-e-tahqeeq.com
ISSN PRINT: 2789-8776
ISSN ONLINE:2789-8784
Volume.7,Issue No.1 (2025)
(January to March)

Urdu Hamd and Naat in the Context of Arabic Poetic Tradition: A Study and AnalysisFostering Critical Thinking and Civic Consciousness through Literature in Pakistan

Ali Raza
Higher Education Department, Government of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan
alipices@yahoo.com
Insram Elahi
Higher Education Department, Government of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan
shinsram@gmail.com

Abstract:
This research delves into the frequently overlooked contribution of literature to enhancing critical thinking and civic awareness among Pakistani students. In a country finding its way through a multifaceted socio-political environment, and struggling with a Eurocentric, exam-driven education system, the potential of literature to serve as a force for democratic education and social change is specially noteworthy. Working from theories like critical pedagogy, reader-response theory, and post-colonial literary theory, this study examines the complex interaction between literary analysis and the formation of these necessary qualities. With qualitative methodology, the research utilizes thematic analysis of interviews between students and teaching staff to measure existing pedagogical methods, curriculum applicability, and sentiments about literature as civic potential. The results point to major challenges, such as outdated syllabi and pedagogical inertia, and at the same time to new trends and opportunities for change. The book asserts that literature classrooms may become sites of free speech, ethical thinking, and the production of engaged, responsible citizens by embracing varied, locally relevant texts and participatory pedagogies. This study adds to the literature on pedagogic innovation and curriculum reform within Pakistan's higher education.

Keywords: frequently overlooked, critical thinking, civic awareness, democratic education, critical pedagogy, qualitative methodology, responsible citizens

Background of the Study

Literature, in its most powerful sense, possesses the redemptive capability to not merely mirror society but actually transform it. By the embodied experience of reading, people are exposed to a multitude of viewpoints, which can test firmly held beliefs, increase their understanding of the human condition, and allow for a more complex and empathetic approach to the world (Bhanbhro et al., 2024). This research assumes that in the particular context of Pakistan, literature is not just an intellectual subject to study linguistic skills or beauty appreciation; instead, it is a very important instrument for democratic education and social change. The country's experience as a post-colonial nation, coupled with its political instability and social diversity, means that the development of critical consciousness and civic awareness in its young people is a necessary requirement for stable growth and democratic consolidation.

The Pakistan academy has experienced a surge in interest in pedagogical approaches and products of different disciplines, but the particular task of literature is one that remains a ripe area of further study. Past studies have drawn attention to a continued divide between the theoretical potential of literary learning and its actuality. Although some research has examined post-colonial literature's influence on the identity and critical consciousness of learners (Bashir & Warraich, 2023; Bhanbhro et al., 2024), others have identified the difference between a Eurocentric syllabus and the living experience of Pakistani students (Rahman, 1996; Shamim, 2008). This research attempts to fill this void by carrying out a qualitative analysis, utilizing thematic analysis of interviews conducted with students and professors of literature. This way, it hopes to present an in-depth picture of how literature, especially post-colonial literature, can function as a tool to foster the intellectual and civic potential of Pakistani students. The outcomes will be able to make valuable contributions to curriculum reform and pedagogical innovation in the nation's system of higher education.

Introduction

Education, in its deepest sense, involves more than the mere communication of facts; it is an empowering process that gives individuals the ability to think for themselves, challenge assumptions, and engage constructively with the world they live in. Although the pedagogical worth of other disciplines is generally accepted, the exact contribution of literature in this process remains under-appreciated. In Pakistan's higher education, literature has historically been considered a field for linguistic and cultural enrichment. With the present turbulent, politically insecure, and democratically challenged environment, however, its greater potential is more urgently needed than ever before. Literature, with its richly woven narrative, character, and multifaceted themes, provides a singular opportunity for students to engage with varied human experience, moral issues, and structures of society. It is a critical platform for civic debate, ethical discussion, and active engagement, and hence promoting a strong sense of both personal identity and shared heritage. For Pakistan's plural youth, negotiating a complicated socio-political terrain, education plays a special role in developing active and responsible citizens.

Where a very large literature now exists on educational achievements and curriculum construction, one significant omission is still the investigation of the particular pedagogical function of literature in developing higher-order thinking and building a solid sense of civic responsibility. In postcolonial societies such as Pakistan, where national ideology and colonial heritage inform pedagogy, literature classrooms offer a unique opportunity to cultivate reflective, socially aware citizens. Nevertheless, in most Pakistani classrooms, teaching literature is limited by rigid syllabi, exam-oriented pedagogy, and failure to address the students' lived realities. The present research will try to analyze the complex interdependence between the teaching of literature and cultivating these two desirable traits among Pakistani students. By examining existing pedagogic practices, teacher and student attitudes, and course content, this research

will prove that literature is not just a source of aesthetic enjoyment but can also be an effective instrument for democratic education and societal transformation.

Research Objectives

The main aim of this study is to examine the existing state of literature education within Pakistani tertiary institutions and whether it can generate critical thinking and civic awareness among students. The study aims to meet a number of precise objectives:

1. To examine prevailing pedagogical methods in literature classrooms: This aim entails an investigation of the pedagogies utilized by instructors, the nature of literary texts being taught, and the evaluation strategies being implemented. The goal is to determine whether these approaches promote rote memorization or support critical discussion, dialogue, and independent thinking.

2. To examine faculty and student perceptions: The research will examine the perceptions of instructors and students about the place of literature in the context of dealing with social, political, and ethical concerns. It would like to know their assumptions about the mission of literary education and how much they see it as a site of intellectual and civic growth.

3. To analyze the appropriateness of the curriculum: This aim entails a critical analysis of the literature curriculum to understand whether it is in accordance with the socio-political context of Pakistan. It will determine if the curriculum contains varied and locally sensitive texts that can connect with students' everyday lives and move them to critically interpret their society.

4. To determine obstacles and possibilities: The study will locate the chief obstacles and hindrances—like institutional rules and regulations, old syllabi, or political sensitivities—that hinder the development of civic awareness and critical thinking through literature. At the same time, it will determine emerging patterns and possibilities of innovation and change.

Theoretical Foundations

This research is grounded in a number of central theoretical frameworks that as a whole present a sound basis for understanding the connection between literature, critical thinking, and civic awareness.

Critical Pedagogy: Heavily influenced by the writings of Paulo Freire, especially his influential book Pedagogy of the Oppressed, critical pedagogy sees education as not an impartial process but as a liberatory practice. Freire contends that the conventional "banking" model of education, in which instructors transfer information to passive pupils, sustains oppression. Rather, he promotes a problem-posing, dialogical model in which instructors and students collaborate to produce knowledge together. Under this model, classrooms of literature can be centers of critical analysis and awareness-

raising, allowing pupils to "read the world" and not merely the word (Freire, 1970). This theory is especially applicable in the Pakistani context, where education systems tend to reinforce dominant power structures.

Reader-Response Theory: This theory, which has been advanced by scholars such as Louise Rosenblatt, relocates the center of literary study from the text itself to the transaction between the reader and the text. Rosenblatt's transactional theory holds that meaning resides neither in the text nor in the reader but is transacted through the confluence of a reader's background, experiences, and the symbols of the text. This strategy engages the student by respecting their personal meanings and inviting them to personalize the material. It transcends searching for one "right" meaning and promotes independent thought and ethical consideration.

This framework, informed by the thought of scholars such as Edward Said and Gayatri Spivak, is critical to this study. Post-colonial theory examines the cultural, political, and social impact of colonization. It unpacks the pervasive Eurocentric discourses and speaks for marginalized voices. Through its application, students are able to reveal the politics of power, representation, and identity inherent in literature. Analyzing post-colonial writing from Pakistan and the world helps students critically evaluate colonial inheritances and the construction of national identity, and, in turn, contributes both to critical thinking and civic awareness (Said, 1978; Spivak, 1988). These theoretical underpinnings all argue that literature is an effective vehicle for reversing existing narratives and raising marginal voices. They offer the intellectual tools to examine ways in which literature can be a radically changing force in the Pakistani educational system.

International Perspectives on Literature and Civic Education

The notion that literary education can be an effective tool for civic education and critical literacy is well-documented in global research. International scholars and teachers have examined a range of models and approaches that effectively combine literary analysis with the cultivation of social responsibility and democratic competencies.

Martha Nussbaum, in her work Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities, makes a strong case that the humanities, such as literature, are needed to cultivate moral imagination, empathy, and democratic citizenship (Nussbaum, 2010).

She believes that in a more globalized and economically centered world, education needs to foster the "inner capacities of the soul" that are important for democratic citizenship. Through the examination of varied stories and characters, students are able to empathize with other people's lives, challenge injustice, and experience a feeling of common humanity. In the United Kingdom, courses such as "Literature and Democracy" have underscored the role of literature in civic education.

These programs promote the use of literary works to stimulate debate on human rights, political systems, and social justice. For instance, a class might utilize George Orwell's 1984 not only for literary worth but as a means by which to question surveillance, power, and totalitarianism in a critical manner. Likewise, the implementation of critical literacy methods in the United States and other Western nations has played an important role in educating students to deconstruct media, question social structures, and identify ideological leanings. Through the examination of how texts are made and for what, students learn to be active, not passive, readers of information. These global examples illustrate that literature is not a solitary discipline but an active subject with the power to cultivate civic participation, tolerance, and pluralistic thinking. The Pakistani scenario, although special in challenges, can benefit from these international best practices to revolutionize its own education system and empower its learners.

Literature Education in Pakistan: Current Practices

In spite of the immense theoretical and international evidence supporting the contribution of literature towards civic education, the traditional, text-based approach to teaching literature in Pakistani universities continues to heavily focus on traditional British literature such as Wordsworth, Shakespeare, and Eliot (Rahman, 1996).

These syllabi often exclude post-colonial or Pakistani literature, limiting the students' exposure to texts relevant to their own society and ongoing social problems. Such over-emphasis on a Eurocentric canon tends to leave students disconnected from the material, perceiving it as an academic exercise and not an instrument of self and social discovery. Instructors' teaching approaches tend to center around rote memorization and instructor-centered lectures, with minimal opportunities for learner engagement, critical discussion, and reflective learning.

As per research done by Shamim (2008), this pedagogical method is an immediate result of the enduring impact of colonial models of education wherein curricula focus on appreciating art rather than critiquing society or being civic-minded. The test system also supports this dynamic, wherein exams tend to test recall of exact facts more than a demonstration of critical thinking or originality. This system does not encourage students to take risks, challenge the text, or relate their learning to the world beyond the classroom. Organizational constraints also represent major barriers to pedagogical experimentation.

There is little professional development training for teachers, who are commonly not skilled in critical or participatory methods. Additionally, academic freedom can be limited, and instructors may be hesitant to introduce literary texts or topics that are politically sensitive or challenge prevailing social norms. Few colleges specifically include civic or ethical learning objectives into their literature courses, and literature is rarely positioned as a medium for examining topics like identity, justice, democracy, or resistance. This gap is a missed opportunity to utilize literature as a transformational tool to enable Pakistani society to yield critical thinkers and socialized citizens.

Research Gaps and Challenges

Although the role of literature in moulding young minds cannot be denied, various institutional, pedagogic, and ideological constraints intervene in its transformation potential in the context of Pakistan.

Exam-Based System: The foremost and most serious among these challenges is the dominance of an exam-based educational system. This model invests disproportionately in memorization and rote reproduction of information, and actively discourages the kind of critical thinking and creative question-asking that lies at the heart of a liberal arts education. Instructors are frequently required to "teach to the test," with precious little time for open-ended discussion, project-based learning, or reflective writing that might engender more profound levels of understanding and connection.

Eurocentric Curriculum: The second principal challenge is the ongoing predominance of a Eurocentric canon in the curriculum for literature. This is a type of cultural hierarchy that isolates students from their immediate reality. By failing to incorporate the rich heritage of Pakistani and other post-colonial literatures, students are denied the chance of realizing their own lives in the texts they read. This not only renders the curriculum less timely but also fails to take advantage of a central opportunity to employ literature as a mirror for reflecting on issues of identity, nationhood, and social justice.

Lack of Pedagogical Innovation: The third challenge is a lack of training in critical or participatory pedagogical methods for teachers. Most teachers use conventional lecture-oriented approaches, which, although effective in disseminating information, do not promote dialogue and independent thinking. Without training, the teachers might lack confidence in being able to lead debates on sensitive topics or assist students in connecting literary concepts to practical issues.

Political Sensitivities and Censorship: One last and deeply ingrained challenge is the political sensitivity and ideological censure that routinely attaches to literary writing in Pakistan.

The presence of works addressing controversial or pluralistic themes can be curbed by institutional guidelines or an atmosphere of self-censorship. This limits academic freedom and disallows literature classrooms to be the safe havens for free speech and critical thinking that they are intended to be. This is especially true when writing about subjects involving religion, gender, or political dissent. Emerging Trends and Opportunities for Pakistani Society

In spite of such monumental challenges, Pakistani institutions and teachers increasingly show interest in overhauling how they approach teaching literature. Such new trends and opportunities signal a promising transformation toward the acceptance of literary education as having civic importance.

Diversification of the curriculum: To encourage a more inclusive civic and cultural debate, some colleges have initiated courses on gender studies, post-colonial literature, and Pakistani literature.

These courses are key to expanding the horizons of students and exposing them to texts that are socially and culturally relevant. For instance, a Pakistani Anglophone fiction course may comprise Mohsin Hamid, Kamila Shamsie, and Fatima Bhutto's works, which deal with direct issues of terrorism, social class, and gender roles that students can relate to in the current times. This shift away from a puristically canonical curriculum is an important step towards making literature more relevant for students. Student-Led Initiatives: The development of student-led forums and online communities has also opened up new spaces for critical engagement and literary debate.

Students and instructors can now exchange opinions, challenge norms, and comment on ideas beyond the conventional classroom with the help of online reading groups, literary blogsites, and social media discussions. These systems are backed by peer-to-peer learning, and they have been particularly effective in times of disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, illustrating that a classroom is not the only environment where learning may occur. Interdisciplinary Teaching Methods: Besides, interdisciplinary teaching methods are gaining popularity.

Some colleges have created cross-listed courses that explore the interplay among literature, civic life, and public discourse. Such courses, typically created through interdisciplinary collaboration involving political science departments, sociology departments, and literature departments, deepen literary studies by connecting them with broader concerns of democratic citizenship and social transformation. Employing reflective and project-based assessments is increasingly popular as a way of encouraging student participation and practical application of literary learning. These new trends imply that the civic value of literary education is being more and more recognized. Although these trends are not yet very old, they indicate that certain Pakistani scholars are willing to re-imagine the literary classroom as a space for critical thinking, public debate, and life-transformation education. These trends can be extended to enhance the overall impact of literature teaching across the country with ongoing institutional backing and faculty development.

Recommendations for Future Practice

In order to enhance the transformational potential of literary education in Pakistan, several strategic shifts need to be introduced at the institutional, pedagogical, and curriculum levels.

1. Curriculum Reform: Curriculum needs to be modified first in a manner that introduces varied and locally resonant texts dealing with social justice, identity, marginalization, and resistance. Students will receive culturally relevant material that evokes thinking on present issues. This shift can also contribute to a more integrated

literary conversation and help deconstruct colonial narratives that dominate conventional curricula. For instance, a course on the "Pakistani Novel" may incorporate novels from Urdu, Sindhi, and Punjabi literature translated into English to present a broader picture of the nation's literary canon.

2. Faculty Development: Secondly, it's essential to develop faculty capacity. Educators need to be trained in inclusive assessment techniques, critical pedagogy, and participatory pedagogical approaches emphasizing discussion and reflection over rote memorization. Professional development courses, academic symposia, and workshops can provide teachers with tools to facilitate student-centered learning environments. This training must also address how to approach sensitive subjects in a productive and safe context, promoting an intellectual freedom environment.

3.Pedagogical Innovation: Third, classroom processes must be enriched through encouraging student-initiated activities such as research studies, literary reading groups, reflective essays, and civic-themed creative writing exercises.

By allowing students to translate literary findings into broader social and ethical contexts, these approaches not only enhance engagement but also enable students to exercise critical agency and sense of responsibility. For example, a poetry course could lead to a project in which students create their own poems based on a social justice cause in their community. **4.Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Finally, innovative course designs that bridge literary analysis with civic education could be promoted through interdisciplinary collaboration among disciplines such as sociology, political science, and education. Increased institutional commitment to academic freedom should lie behind such endeavors, ensuring that literature classrooms remain safe spaces for free speech, diversity, and critical thinking.

Concluding Remarks

In Pakistan, literature can be a powerful tool for civic education and critical thinking. While structural and ideological constraints often circumscribe current approaches, there are promising possibilities for innovation and reform. By reframing literature classes as democratic spaces, educators can assist in producing a generation of students better educated, more empathetic, and more engaged in critical thinking. The proposals presented within this research aim to serve as a guide for educators and policy makers to unlock the full potential of literary study, thus helping shape a more active and responsible citizenry in Pakistan.

References

Almalki, A., & Zou, B. (2021). Smart learning environments in higher education: A review of the literature. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(4), 4007–4027. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10478-z

Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 12*(3), 80–97. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v12i3.890

Bao, W. (2020). COVID-19 and online teaching in higher education: A case study of Peking University. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2*(2), 113–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.191

Bashir, F., & Warraich, N. F. (2023). Systematic literature review of Semantic Web for distance learning. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(1), 527-543.

Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Tony Bates Associates Ltd.

Chen, X., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Wang, F. L. (2020). Smart learning environments: A comprehensive review of state-of-the-art. Interactive Learning Environments, 28(6), 695–716.

Chen, X., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Wang, F. L. (2020). Smart learning environments: A comprehensive review of state-of-the-art. Interactive Learning Environments, 28(6), 695–716.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2018). Mobile learning and student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 34(5), 539–546.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.

Dede, C. (2014). The role of digital technologies in deeper learning. *Students at the Center: Deeper Learning Research Series*. Jobs for the Future.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification". Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference, 9–15.

Downes, S. (2008). An introduction to connective knowledge. In T. Hug (Ed.), Media, Knowledge & Education (pp. 77–102). Innsbruck University Press.

Dron, J., & Anderson, T. (2014). *Teaching crowds: Learning and social media*. Athabasca University Press.

Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2010). The first decade of the community of inquiry framework: A retrospective. *The Internet and Higher Education, 13*(1-2), 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2009.10.003

Greenhow, C., & Lewin, C. (2016). Social media and education: Reconceptualizing the boundaries of formal and informal learning. Learning, Media and Technology, 41(1), 6–30.

Greenhow, C., Robelia, B., & Hughes, J. E. (2009). Learning, teaching, and scholarship in a digital age: Web 2.0 and classroom research. *Journal of Educational Research, 102*(3), 253–271. https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.102.3.253-271

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Hrastinski, S. (2009). A theory of online learning as online participation. Computers & Education, 52(1), 78–82.

Huang, R., Spector, J. M., & Yang, J. (2019). *Educational technology: A primer for the 21st century*. Springer.

Hwang, G. J. (2014). Definition, framework and research issues of smart learning environments—a context-aware ubiquitous learning perspective. Smart Learning Environments, 1(4).

Hwang, G. J., Tsai, C. C., & Yang, S. J. H. (2011). Criteria, strategies and research issues of context-aware ubiquitous learning. Educational Technology & Society, 14(2), 81–91.

Hwang, G.-J. (2014). Definition, framework and research issues of smart learning environments—a context-aware ubiquitous learning perspective. Smart Learning Environments, 1(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-014-0004-5

Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 25(2), 251–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-019-09410-3

Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2015). *NMC Horizon Report: 2015 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium.

Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), Instructional-design theories and models (Vol. II). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Kebritchi, M., Lipschuetz, A., & Santiague, L. (2017). Issues and challenges for teaching successful online courses in higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 46*(1), 4–29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239516661713

Kirkwood, A., & Price, L. (2014). Technology-enhanced learning and teaching in higher education: What is 'enhanced' and how do we know? A critical literature review. *Learning, Media and Technology, 39*(1), 6–36.

Looi, C. K., Wong, L. H., & Song, Y. (2013). Transforming the classroom of today with the classroom of tomorrow. In H. Crompton & J. Traxler (Eds.), Mobile learning and STEM: Case studies in practice (pp. 113–126). Routledge.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

Means, B., Bakia, M., & Murphy, R. (2020). *Learning online: What research tells us about whether, when and how*. Routledge.

Muilenburg, L. Y., & Berge, Z. L. (2005). Student barriers to online learning: A factor analytic study. *Distance Education, 26*(1), 29–48.

OECD. (2020). Learning remotely when schools close: How well are students and schools prepared?. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2021). *Education at a glance 2021: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2021-en

Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2015). Continued progress: Promising evidence on personalized learning. RAND Corporation.

Pellas, N. (2014). Learning with mobile interactive whiteboards in synchronous small groups. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 45*(3), 392–407. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12036

Piaget, J. (1976). Piaget's theory. Springer.

Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. Computers & Education, 147, 103778.

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.

Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3–10.

Slade, S., & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Learning analytics: Ethical issues and dilemmas. *American Behavioral Scientist, 57*(10), 1510–1529.

Smith, J., & Hill, J. R. (2019). Defining the role of the teacher in online learning: Theoretical perspectives and practical applications. *Journal of Learning for Development, 6*(3), 282–300.

Spector, J. M. (2014). Conceptualizing the emerging field of smart learning environments. Smart Learning Environments, 1, 2.

Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Yang, J. M. (2015). How effective are mobile devices for language learning? A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 16, 68–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.09.001

Teo, T. (2011). Factors influencing teachers' intention to use technology: Model development and test. Computers & Education, 57(4), 2432–2440.

UNESCO. (2015). Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?. UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2017). Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000247444

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Wang, F., & Hannafin, M. J. (2005). Design-based research and technology-enhanced learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 53*(4), 5–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02504682

Wu, W.-H., Wu, Y.-C. J., Chen, C.-Y., Kao, H.-Y., Lin, C.-H., & Huang, S.-H. (2012). Review of trends from mobile learning studies: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 59(2), 817–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.03.016

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1–27.

Zhu, C., Yu, M., & Riezebos, P. (2016). A research framework of smart education. Smart Learning Environments, 3(4), 1–17. https://doi.or*g/10.1186/s40561-016-0026-2*